Stem cells and repair of the heart

A Mathur, JF Martin

Stem-cell therapy provides the prospect of an exciting and powerful treatment to repair the heart. Although research has been undertaken in animals to analyse the safety and efficacy of this new approach, results have been inconclusive. The mechanism by which stem cells could improve cardiac function remains unclear. We describe the background to the concept of natural repair and the work that has been done to establish the role of stem cells in cardiac repair. Controversies have arisen in interpretation of experimental data. The important issues surrounding the application of stem-cell therapy to man are discussed critically. We discuss the future of this pioneering work in the setting of growing concerns about clinical studies in man without understanding the biological mechanisms involved, with the difficulties in funding this type of research.

Introduction

The discovery of pluripotent stem cells with the ability to repair adult tissue has prompted novel research into repair of the heart and blood vessels. This finding offers immense therapeutic possibilities but also problems that have never been encountered before. The use of stem cells for treatment in the heart, because of its simplicity in function and accessibility, is more advanced than in other organs. For the same reason stem-cell research has produced novel problems in biology, clinical application, ethics, funding, and organisation.

The Problem

Occlusion of arteries by atherosclerosis with or without thrombosis leads to cell death in organs. In developed populations, mortality from myocardial infarction, thrombotic cerebral infarction, and peripheral arterial disease is falling, yet morbidity from vascular disease is rising because of increased survival of patients. The increase in age of death in the population implies a future rise in mortality and morbidity from cardiovascular disease. Half the deaths in developed countries are caused by cardiovascular disease. WHO predicts that the disease will be the biggest cause of death worldwide in the near future (World Health Report, 2003 www.who.int/whr/en/). The economic costs are enormous. Extrapolation of US data to the 25 countries of the European Union indicates that in Europe the direct cost of cardiovascular disease is €473 billion and the indirect cost is €15 392 billion per year (Rynkiewicz A, Medical University, Gdansk, personal communication). Stem-cell transplantation offers the possibility of a simple and cheap way of repairing end organ damage, particularly in the heart.

Natural repair of the heart

It has been proposed that a natural system of repair exists in the body, which is overwhelmed by substantial damage.^{1,2} This suggestion has led to a re-examination of the evidence concerning cardiac regeneration and, in particular, the ability of the cardiomyocyte to divide. Previously, the cardiomyocyte was thought to be terminally differentiated.³⁻⁵ Thus, the number of cardiomyocytes at birth would only decrease with age. This traditional concept implies that the heart muscle itself has no housekeeping mechanism to repair any minor damage. This notion was supported by findings that the number of myocytes undergoing proliferation is low compared with other tissues that have high levels of cellular regenerative capacity (eg, liver). The traditional view was that myocytes responded to physiological and pathological stress by hypertrophy rather than hyperplasia. Furthermore the effects of myocardial infarction were thought to be irreversible, and improvement in left ventricular function was believed to be secondary to a process of remodelling that comprised a combination of hypertrophy and fibrosis. These ideas are challenged by recent work suggesting that large numbers of mitotic figures are present in adult hearts.6-8 However, even though a 10-60 fold increase in mitotic figures was recorded in patients dying from heart failure, the proportion of myocytes that were mitotic was low, 0.015% to 0.08%, a small and insignificant number if myocyte proliferation were to act as an effective repair mechanism.78 These studies might underestimate the number of cells that are dividing since the data only reflect what is happening at one instant in what is likely to be a dynamic process.

The source of dividing cells in the myocardium is also unclear. Cells might be randomly located throughout the myocardium and present from birth. However, the possibility that these dividing cells are myocytes derived from an extracardiac origin is suggested by investigations in sex-mismatched heart transplant patients. In male patients who had received female hearts, biopsies revealed the presence of cardiomyocytes carrying the Y chromosome⁸⁻¹⁰ (figure 1). Although the

Search strategy and selection criteria

PubMed and OVID databases were searched for the terms stem cell, cardiac, cardiovascular, heart, progenitor cells, myocardial repair, and regeneration. These searches were cross-referenced with keywords relevant to the subsections. Additional references were identified from textbooks, reviews, original research articles, scientific meetings, and WHO online (http://www.who.int). We also relied on our knowledge of current issues in the science.

Lancet 2004; 364: 183-92

Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Barts and The London, Charterhouse Square, London EC1M 6BG, and Queen Mary University of London, Mile End, London, UK (A Mathur PhD); British Heart Foundation Laboratories, Department of Medicine, University College London, London WC1E GJJ, UK (Prof J F Martin FMedSci) Correspondence to: J F Martin

John.martin@ucl.ac.uk



Figure 1: Immunostaining and in-situ hybridisation for Y and X chromosomes in biopsy samples taken from female hearts transplanted into male patients

In A–D, blue areas show DAPI (4',6'-diamidino-2-phenlyindole hydrochloride) staining of nuclei. In B–D, red dots indicate Y chromosomes, and green dots indicate X chromosomes in nuclei. (A) shows immunostaining of myocardial biopsy by α -sarcomeric actin (green area). A cardiomyocyte marked by the arrow is also shown after in-situ hybridisation in (B). Green staining surrounding nucleus in (B) is due to autofluorescence. In (C) and (D), green areas represent immunostaining for myoglobin; arrows indicate male cardiomyocytes. Asterisk in (D) indicates nucleus belonging to male non-myocyte cell.

proportion of Y chromosome positive cells with evidence of cardiac differentiation present in the female hearts varied considerably between patients, this finding showed for the first time in human beings that the heart can receive new cells from an extracardiac source. Provided that these cells can differentiate into cardiac tissue, two potential sources have been suggested. First, the cells might originate in the bone marrow, from which they could be released and engraft in the heart either as a low level process of continuing renewal or in response to injury.11-14 Second, the cells might represent a local resident cardiac stem-cell population. Evidence for the latter theory is the isolation of cells expressing a progenitor phenotype in animal heart tissue (lin-c-kit+ and Sca-1⁺ markers). These cells were recorded to be selfrenewing, clonogenic, and multipotent. When transplanted into an animal myocardial infarction model they seemed to improve heart function. Although the "cardiac stem cells" did not express markers suggesting a haemopoietic or endothelial lineage, there is still the possibility these cells were derived from other sources such as the bone marrow.^{8,9,15–17} Another potential source of local stem cells is the epicardium, from which it has been proposed that a subset of progenitor cell is able to migrate into the myocardium and differentiate into different cardiac cell types including new blood vessels or any cell comprising the whole organ.18

The concept of self renewal is not confined to the heart but is also seen in other organs (eg, liver and bone marrow). Indeed, the tips of fingers have been found to regenerate in children.¹⁹⁻²² The argument that regeneration is a limited process and does not extend to complex structures involving more than one cell type is



Figure 2: Urodele limb regeneration

Palette

(A) North American red spotted newt, Notophthalmus viridescens. (B) Stages of limb regeneration in an adult newt. (Reproduced from reference 121 with permission from Springer-Verlag).

Early

differentiation

Regenerated limb

challenged by findings from a range of species, in particular amphibians (Urodeles) (figure 2). In these animals, regeneration has been shown in the brain and spinal cord,23 intestine,24 heart,25 limb,26 and lens and retina.27 This regenerative process involves cellular dedifferentiation as well as transdifferentiation and is represented in its most complex form in the total regeneration after loss of the limb and eye. Cells at the site of damage or amputation undergo dedifferentiation and transdifferentiation to rebuild an exact replica of the lost part. Growth factors28 and their receptors29 (FGFR-1 and FGFR-2) have been implicated in this process. The role of stem cells or progenitor cells in amphibian regeneration is unclear because of a limited supply of diagnostic antibodies to the relevant cells in this species. Therefore, whether this process is facilitated by progenitor cells of bone marrow origin remains to be seen.





Identification of the key components in amphibian regeneration, such as the blastema (the collection of dedifferentiated cells at the site of injury) and the specialised wound epithelium provide molecular targets for potential application in human beings. A characteristic molecular signature of the blastema is the phosphorylation patterns of the protein of the retinoblastoma tumour suppressor gene. This phosphorylation pattern associated with dedifferentiation in the blastema is only seen in mammalian cells that are allowed to enter the cell cycle after transfection with viral oncogenes.³⁰ Indeed, there are similarities between cells of the blastema and cancer cells. However, spontaneous tumours are rare in amphibians³¹ and application of carcinogens to regeneration of competent tissue in such animals leads to normal morphogenesis and differentiation.32,33 The susceptibility to cancer and the ability to regenerate tissues might be inversely related. A weak immune system³⁴ as that of amphibians might be necessary to allow for the expression of embryonic antigens found in the blastema. Although highly speculative, with the development of a more advanced immune system the full capacity to regenerate might have been lost by man and other species. A better understanding of the regenerative mechanism in amphibians could help understand cardiac regeneration in man.

Stem cells

Stem cells are defined by their ability to self-renew and to form one or more differentiated cell types.35-37 They can be categorised anatomically, functionally, or by cell surface markers, transcription factors, and the proteins they express. What distinguishes different populations of stem cells are the types of specialised cells that they generate. One clear division of the stem cell family is between those isolated from the embryo, known as embryonic stem cells, and those in adult somatic tissue known as adult stem cells. Within these categories, stem cells can be further divided according to the number of differentiated cell types they can produce. Totipotent stem cells are able to form all fully differentiated cells of the body and trophoblastic cells of the placenta. The embryo, zygote, and the immediate descendants of the first two cell divisions are the only cells considered to be totipotent.

Pluripotent cells can differentiate into almost all cells that arise from the three germ layers, but are unable to give rise to the placenta and supporting structures. At around 5 days after fertilisation, embryonic stem cells that form the inner cell mass of the blastocyst are considered pluripotent. Multipotent stem cells are capable of producing a small range of differentiated cell lineages appropriate to their location and are usually found in adult tissues. However, the use of the term multipotent might be somewhat redundant, since some adult stem cells, once removed from their usual location seem to transdifferentiate into cells that reflect their new environment. Stem cells with the least potential for differentiation are termed unipotent; for example, the epidermal stem cell found in the basal skin layer that only produces keratinised squames.

The embryonic stem cell has the greatest potential for organ regeneration because of the diversity and number of cell types that can be produced. However, the idea of lineage commitment has been questioned by recent findings relating to multipotent adult stem cells and the concept of plasticity in which the eventual phenotypic fate of these cells is governed by the local environment.35,36 Greater potential might persist in postnatal stem cells than previously thought (figure 3). This theory helps to explain how haemopoietic stem cells could give rise to cells from non-blood lineages such as the cardiomyocyte. An alternative explanation would suggest that these haemopoietic stem cells are contaminated with multipotent mesenchymal stem cells that have the potential to differentiate into the non-blood lineages previously described.

The debate is further complicated by the concept of cell fusion (figure 3). Rather than undergo transdifferentiation, progenitor cells could fuse with native cells to produce a hybrid that expresses both



Figure 4: Stem cells obtained from adult bone marrow exhibit myocardial potential

Experiments suggesting that bone marrow derived stem cells contribute to cardiac myocyte regeneration when either injected directly into the heart^{12,47-49} or exposed to myocardium via the circulation^{1,122} (adapted from reference 2 with permission from John Wiley & Sons).

progenitor and differentiated cell markers. Although this finding has been seen in co-cultures of tissue-derived stem cells and embryonic cell lines,^{38,39} considerable dispute persists with respect to its relevance. The relevance of in-vivo cell fusion in a mouse model of liver failure also has been questioned in relation to the heart since the liver already has a regenerative phenotype.^{40,41} There is no convincing evidence to suggest that cell fusion accounts for the potential of stem cells to regenerate into myocardium, despite the scepticism that exists over transdifferentiation.^{42–46}

Stem cells in the heart

Although the possibility of organ repair has been indicated by findings of tissue regeneration in several animal species, the concept came closer to reality with a series of reports that suggested that bone marrow derived progenitor cells were able to repair the hearts of animals that had undergone myocardial injury^{47–50} (figure 4). This approach allowed an autologous source of stem cells to be used thereby circumventing issues relating to the use of transgenic as well as embryonic derived tissue. In one report, a mouse model of ligature-induced myocardial infarction was used. Bone-marrow-derived

cells that expressed no differentiation markers (lin-) but carried the receptor for stem-cell factor (c-kit) were injected directly into the heart; cardiac function improved.⁵⁰ Furthermore, using immunofluorescence techniques the investigators showed that these primitive bone-marrow-derived cells had undergone a process of differentiation that led them to express various markers specific to cardiomyocytes. They concluded that locally delivered bone marrow cells were able to improve post infarct myocardial function by generating de novo myocardium. This report prompted other work suggesting that adult stem cells, in particular those derived from bone marrow, were also capable of targeting the site of myocardial injury as well as undergoing differentiation into cardiomyocytes.1,12,16,51-55 These results have subsequently been challenged by new techniques that have shown that bone-marrow-derived progenitor cells undergo cellular fusion with local cells (thereby expressing a combination of markers) and therefore might undergo a much more limited process of transdifferentiation than initially thought.15,38,56

More recently, researchers have used genetic techniques rather than immunofluorescence in an attempt to clarify the fate of bone marrow derived progenitor cells at the site of myocardial infarction.57,58 These experiments showed that in a mouse model of myocardial infarction, bone-marrow-derived cells underwent a very low level of transdifferentiation into cardiomyocytes and that the fate of most of these cells was to continue to differentiate along the haemopoietic lineage.57,58 These studies did establish whether engraftment of these haemopoietic cells at the infarct site led to an improvement in myocardial function. In the original study,50 new vessel formation at the infarct site and a subsequent increase in blood supply could have accounted for most of the improvement in left ventricular function.59 This suggestion is in keeping with several reports on the interaction of different cell types and the myocardium that have produced new blood vessels.47,60-68 The cells that have been transplanted and associated with new vessel formation and improved include cardiomyocytes69-78, cardiac function mvoblasts.^{69,79-81} and both embryonic and bone-marrowderived stem cells.9,13,47,62,82-87

We know little about how the fate of these transplanted stem cells might improve cardiac function, and important questions regarding the phenotype of the population of cells that develop remain to be answered. The possibilities are that progenitor cell infusion leads to: new vessel formation, new myocardial formation, or a paracrine effect. Also evidence suggests that the number of cardiac cells produced by cardiac regeneration alone is unlikely to explain the effects seen. Ultimately, the exact mechanism of potential benefit might never be discovered. Most of the controversy over the mechanism arises from the techniques that are used to track and characterise the progenitor cells in the heart.88 Stem cells derived from nuclear transfer with cells that are c-kit+ derived from embryonic liver are capable of regenerating myocardium with increased effectiveness compared with that reported through the use of bone-marrow-derived cells.89 Although this approach offers advantages over the use of embryonic stem-cell-derived tissue that provokes an immune response, it is still disadvantaged by the ethical issues related to the use of embryonic tissue.⁹⁰⁻⁹³ In such a prevalent disease as myocardial infarction whose treatment is an emergency, ideally we should find a therapy that is ethically acceptable to all. Although stem cells have been used in clinical trials in man, continuing investigation of the basic mechanisms involved is needed.

Clinical studies

The initial report of an improvement in cardiac function in a mouse model of myocardial infarction treated with bone-marrow-derived progenitor cells led to a series of clinical studies in human beings. The main differences between the investigations, which were for the most part designed to test the safety of this approach, were in the choice of progenitor cell and the type of patient. They also used different end-point measurements to ascertain cardiac function (which method is best remains unclear). One of the first reports compared the effects of injection of bone-marrow-derived intracoronary progenitor cells to blood-derived progenitor cells in the context of acute anterior myocardial infarction treated by angioplasty.94 This interim analysis of 20 patients by Zeiher and colleagues⁹⁴ showed that, compared with the reference group of patients, there was an improvement in left ventricular function. Cardiac function did not differ between the blood or bone-marrow-derived progenitor cells although the bone-marrow-derived population contained a substantial proportion of CD34+ haemopoietic cells compared with the blood derived fraction, which mostly contained progenitor cells expressing endothelial cell markers. Importantly, no adverse effects were reported from intracoronary injection of the autologous progenitor cells.



Figure 5: Potential routes of direct delivery of stem cells to the heart (A) Direct intramyocardial through the epicardium. (B) Direct intramyocardial via the endocardium. (C) Intracoronary. (D) Retroperfusion via the cardiac veins. (adapted from reference 123 with permission from Macmillan Publishers).

Also, in patients with acute myocardial infarction, Strauer and colleagues95 reported an improvement in left ventricular function including a significant reduction in infarct size after intracoronary infusion of autologous bone-marrow-derived progenitor cells. The safety and clinical improvement of the approach used in these investigations are confirmed by the work of Drexler and colleagues published in this issue of The Lancet.⁹⁶ This See Articles page 141 study also shows an improvement in cardiac function in patients undergoing angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction who were treated with autologous bonemarrow-derived progenitor cells (see table 1 for a comparison of the studies). The control group received angioplasty and best medical practice. Comparison

	Control group	Method of end-point assessment	End-point time (months)	Time to cell infusion (days)*		N	LV baseline	LV end point	Improvement	р
Assmus et al ⁹⁴	Historical matched	LVEF by ventriculography	4	4.3 (1.5)	Control	20	51% (10)	53·3% (7·9)	2.3%	NS†
					BMC§	20	51.6% (9.6)	60.1% (8.6)	8.5%	0.003
Strauer et al95¶	Randomised to PCI	LVEF by ventriculography	3	5.9	Control	10	60% (7)	64% (7)	4.0%	NS†
	alone				BMC§	10	57% (8)	62% (10)	5.0%	NS†
Wollert et al ⁹⁶	Randomised to PCI	LVEF by MRI	6	4.8 (1.3)	Control	30	51.3% (9.3)	52% (12·4)	0.7%	NS‡
	alone				BMC§	30	50% (10)	56.7% (12.5)	6.7%	0.0026‡

PCI=percutaneous coronary intervention. LVEF=left ventricular ejection fraction. MRI=magnetic resonance imaging. LV=left ventricle. BMC=bone-marrow-progenitor cell treated group. *Time from primary interventional procedure to infusion of bone-marrow-progenitor cells. †p-value relative to baseline. ‡p-value reflecting change relative to control group. \$Although each group used autologous unsorted bone marrow cells in the cell therapy group, the method of preparation varied between the studies. ¶Strauer et al showed no significant difference in ejection fraction between control and cell treated groups. However other parameters of LV function were shown to significantly improve in the BMC group. Data are mean (SD) unless otherwise indicated

Table 1: Comparison of outcomes of clinical trials of infusion of autologous bone-marrow-derived progenitor cells following acute myocardial infarction

between the bone-marrow-treated and control group showed that in those patients who received progenitor cells the improvement in left ventricular function increased more than in the control group in whom a small improvement was also seen. To show the electrical stability of the myocardium after progenitor cell infusion both groups underwent electrophysiological testing with ventricular stimulation protocols. Results showing that the control and bone-marrow-cell treated groups did not differ in the ability to stimulate ventricular arrhythmia add to the safety data for the use of this approach in man. Future studies should be designed to show, using appropriate controls, that circulating cytokines alone, induced by the procedures themselves, are not responsible for the improvement in cardiac function.

Researchers have also injected autologous unfractionated progenitor cells (both circulating and bone marrow derived) into patients with acute myocardial infarction97,98 and chronic ischaemic heart failure.99,100 These studies reported an improvement in quality of life assessments and cardiac function. Route of delivery of progenitor cells varied and included intracoronary,97,98 percutaneous intramyocardial99 and direct intramyocardial at the time of coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) (figure 5).100,101 Also, injection of unsorted progenitor cells into non-viable scar tissue at the time of CABG has improved cardiac function.102 Isolated progenitor cell populations have been given in an attempt to refine the technique. Preliminary results for patients with acute myocardial infarction treated with intracoronary injection of CD34+ enriched cells103 as well as direct intramyocardial injection of AC133+ (endothelial cell progenitors) into patients undergoing CABG¹⁰⁴ have shown an improvement in cardiac function.

Importantly, most of these small studies have reported few side-effects. The worst side-effects reported include a mild elevation in cardiac enzymes and a non-significant increase of in-stent restenosis in a group of patients with myocardial infarction. The latter group was treated with granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) (to mobilise bone marrow stem cells) and intracoronary injection of autologous peripheral blood mobilised progenitor cells.⁹⁸ This study showed the relative safety of administration of G-CSF itself to this high risk group of patients and contrasts with another study in which G-CSF administration to a small group of 12 patients with intractable angina was associated with two cases of myocardial infarction and one cardiac death.105 Both studies are small and the use of factors to mobilise progenitor cells needs further investigation. A rise in cardiac enzymes has been reported in dogs that underwent intracoronary infusion of mesenchymal stem cells with histological confirmation of subacute myocardial microinfarction.¹⁰⁶ The significance of this result remains unclear since none of the work in human beings has documented an acute adverse event.

The other main approach to deliver cell transplantation in man has involved the use of skeletal myoblasts. Fetal cardiomyocytes and skeletal myoblasts were transplanted into animal models of ischaemic heart failure. This improved left ventricular function.107-111 Then skeletal myoblasts (taken from autologous cells cultured from a muscle biopsy) were transplanted into the peri-infarct zone of a man undergoing bypass surgery. At 5 months, the researchers reported that the areas of the heart that had received the myoblasts had regained some functional capacity.¹¹² Subsequently, studies in which skeletal myoblasts were injected directly into the myocardium (either at the time of CABG^{113,114} or with a percutaneous technique^{115,116}) of patients with ischaemic left ventricular failure have shown an improvement in cardiac function. One major drawback to this approach is the reported increased incidence of serious ventricular dysrhythmia.113,115 The potential for myoblast treatment to produce rhythm disturbances has lead to criticism and debate as to whether these studies are premature.

A failure to explain the mechanism by which any of the methods of stem-cell transplantation leads to an improvement in cardiac function has provoked similar concerns. Chien⁵⁹ suggested that more preliminary data, to elucidate the mechanism of action, are needed before these techniques are tested in patients. However, it should be remembered that most of the pharmacological agents used in the management of cardiac patients were tested in man without a full understanding of their mechanism of action. Our understanding of the beneficial effects of drugs is always provisional. Indeed, without compromising safety, it would be unreasonable to have withheld some of the major breakthroughs in the management of cardiovascular disease until a full understanding of their mechanism of action had been established. When potential clinical benefit has been shown, safety is the primary consideration that should determine further trials. An understanding of mechanism of benefit is highly desirable yet not necessary. In future, such an understanding might allow refinement of these techniques. For example, genetically engineered stem cells might be developed to target delivery of potentially beneficial agents to the heart.¹¹⁷

Are further trials using autologous bone marrow transplant in acute myocardial infarction justified?

The most consistent improvement in myocardial function combined with safety has come from studies using autologous bone-marrow-cell transplantation in myocardial infarction. In their studies on acute myocardial infarction Drexler,⁹⁶ Strauer,⁹⁵ and Zeiher⁹⁴ used a preparation of bone-marrow-derived progenitor cells which was infused into the coronary artery. In all patients in these studies the cells used were autologous. Although an increase in understanding of the functioning of the heart alone is justification for research, in this case the objective was to find a new

treatment for ischaemic heart disease. The use of autologous bone marrow cells for the treatment of ischaemic heart disease would seem simple, cheap, and widely applicable if efficacy were shown. Such treatment would have the potential of relieving much human suffering and, therefore, should be applied as soon as possible. The decision on efficacy must be based upon the results of randomised, controlled, and, if possible, masked clinical trials. Such trials must take into account the fact that myocardial infarction and heart failure are treated in district general hospitals, or their equivalent, and, therefore, should use methodologies applicable to such hospitals. For example, the processing of stem cells should be done locally.

The trials so far have not been double-blind randomised controlled trials. Because of the tendency of cardiac function to improve with time after myocardial infarction, if the patient survives, controlled trials, in which the control reproduces the exact conditions of the test in the absence of the autologous bone marrow cells, are essential. They should be masked. The danger of not doing such trials is that small, uncontrolled studies, although a necessary step in the development of a new therapy, might give rise to a mosaic of unproven practices, which differ from centre to centre. Consequently, the true benefit of this novel treatment would never be fully tested.

Lack of involvement of the pharmaceutical industry

Commercial drive, controlled by government regulation, has produced most medicines used to treat ischaemic heart disease. There have been three phases in this process. Small molecules such as β blockers or calcium antagonists were developed with the pharmaceutical company owning the intellectual property in the molecule. The putative mechanism was usually understood both in-vitro and in animal models. Development was very expensive, involving much toxicology, and was heavily controlled by governmental regulatory authorities. The medical profession did most of the clinical trials involved under the control of the pharmaceutical industry.

A change from this situation occurred with gene therapy, when large pharmaceutical companies were unwilling to take the risk of investing in such a novel therapy. Intellectual property did not reside in the structure of the transfected gene itself but in its use; thus ownership was less secure. Putative mechanisms were well understood, toxicology was rigorously regulated, and funding for clinical trials was provided by venture capital investors in biotechnology companies, which often were founded by academics. These trials were frequently done by a team of professionals from the biotechnology company together with academic physicians.

The possibility of stem-cell therapy for ischaemic heart disease has created a new situation, quite unlike any previous therapeutic development process. New problems exist, which need original solutions. Autologous bone marrow cells themselves have no value as intellectual property. Their commercialisation as such is, therefore, impossible. Commercial sources are unlikely to fund clinical trials unless the treatment process is combined with a patentable preparation or delivery system. Clinical trials of a simple, cheap form of autologous stem cell transplantation to the heart will have to be funded by the European Commission, governments, charities, or philanthropy. The lack of commercial involvement means that physicians themselves are in control of the situation, which gives them novel responsibilities that have to be dealt with without the drive, focus, and discipline of a commercial organisation. Toxicology is less onerous or is absent and regulations are mostly related to the preparation of cells for infusion. Of all the differences between the earlier development paradigms, the difficulty in funding large definitive, double-blind controlled trials is probably the most serious. Furthermore, there is a tendency for academic physicians to compete with each other. The formation of large co-operative teams would be helpful.

Risk benefit ratio

Evidence in this seminar suggests that further definitive clinical studies are necessary, and specifically, randomised controlled clinical trials. Whether this is justified depends on the risk benefit ratio. As shown in table 2, it would seem that the potential benefit of bonemarrow-progenitor cells in the treatment of acute myocardial infarction is not outweighed by the risk of undertaking further clinical trials. Similar risk benefit analysis should precede future clinical studies in this

	For	Against	Comment		
Animal studies	Models of myocardial infarction show that autologous stem-cell transplantation improves cardiac function ^{1,12,47,61,52,67,84,118-120}	Data suggest that transplanted autologous stem cells do not become myocytes ^{57,58}	Mechanism of efficacy need not be known before studies in human beings		
		In dogs, autologous stem cell transplantation leads to micro infarction ¹⁰⁶	Significant elevation in cardiac enzymes seen in dogs, may not have relevance to people94		
Man	Autologous bone marrow and peripheral blood stem cells transplanted in patients with acute myocardial infarction improves cardiac function ⁹⁴⁻⁹⁶	Suggestion of increased in-stent re-stenosis rate in patients with acute myocardial infarction treated with G-CSF and stem cells [®]	Small, non randomised controlled studies. Risks seem low. Large well designed studies needed Adverse events are not statistically significant Need for larger studies		

area. As at the beginning of gene therapy studies, one injudicious act could scuttle the whole advance.

Conclusion

There is evidence across species that regeneration of tissue can occur. Both animal and human studies suggest that stem cells capable of improving cardiac function exist in adults. This might be part of a natural repair process. The benefit of this novel approach to treating cardiovascular disease should be confirmed and optimised. Safety is the key issue. It is important that clinical trials are designed to answer these questions. Funding such large studies will remain a major hurdle. Open collaboration amongst basic scientists and clinicians around the world is crucial for these problems to be overcome.

Conflict of interest statement

None declared.

Acknowledgments

We thank Matthew Lovell for his help in preparing this manuscript. John Martin holds the British Heart Foundation Chair of Cardiovascular Science at University College London and is also funded by the European Commission and the Mary Kinross charitable trust. Anthony Mathur is supported by the British Heart Foundation.

References

- Jackson KA, Majka SM, Wang H, et al. Regeneration of ischemic cardiac muscle and vascular endothelium by adult stem cells. *J Clin Invest* 2001; 107: 1395–402.
- Eisenberg LM, Eisenberg CA. Adult stem cells and their cardiac potential. Anat Rec 2004; 276: 103–12.
- 3 Soonpaa MH, Field LJ. Survey of studies examining mammalian cardiomyocyte DNA synthesis. Circ Res 1998; 83: 15–26.
- 4 MacLellan WR, Schneider MD. Genetic dissection of cardiac growth control pathways. Annu Rev Physiol 2000; 62: 289–319.
- 5 Chien KR, Olson EN. Converging pathways and principles in heart development and disease: CV@CSH. Cell 2002; 110: 153–62.
- 6 Kajstura J, Leri A, Finato N, Di Loreto C, Beltrami CA, Anversa P. Myocyte proliferation in end-stage cardiac failure in humans. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1998; 95: 8801–05.
- 7 Beltrami AP, Urbanek K, Kajstura J, et al. Evidence that human cardiac myocytes divide after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2001; 344: 1750–57.
- 8 Quaini F, Urbanek K, Beltrami AP, et al. Chimerism of the transplanted heart. *N Engl J Med* 2002; **346**: 5–15.
- 9 Laflamme MA, Myerson D, Saffitz JE, Murry CE. Evidence for cardiomyocyte repopulation by extracardiac progenitors in transplanted human hearts. *Circ Res* 2002; **90:** 634–40.
- 10 Muller P, Pfeiffer P, Koglin J, et al. Cardiomyocytes of noncardiac origin in myocardial biopsies of human transplanted hearts. *Circulation* 2002; 106: 31–35.
- 11 Deb A, Wang S, Skelding KA, Miller D, Simper D, Caplice NM. Bone marrow-derived cardiomyocytes are present in adult human heart: a study of gender-mismatched bone marrow transplantation patients. *Circulation* 2003; **107**: 1247–49.
- 12 Orlic D, Kajstura J, Chimenti S, et al. Mobilized bone marrow cells repair the infarcted heart, improving function and survival. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2001; 98: 10344–49.
- 13 Jackson KA, Majka SM, Wang H, et al. Regeneration of ischemic cardiac muscle and vascular endothelium by adult stem cells. *J Clin Invest* 2001; 107: 1395–402.
- 14 Hierlihy AM, Seale P, Lobe CG, Rudnicki MA, Megeney LA. The post-natal heart contains a myocardial stem cell population. *FEBS Lett* 2002; 530: 239–43.
- 15 Oh H, Bradfute SB, Gallardo TD, et al. Cardiac progenitor cells from adult myocardium: homing, differentiation, and fusion after infarction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2003; 100: 12313–18.

- 16 Beltrami AP, Barlucchi L, Torella D, et al. Adult cardiac stem cells are multipotent and support myocardial regeneration. *Cell* 2003; 114: 763–76.
- 17 Hughes S. Cardiac stem cells. J Pathol 2002; 197: 468-78.
- 18 Wessels A, Perez-Pomares JM. The epicardium and epicardially derived cells (EPDCs) as cardiac stem cells. *Anat Rec* 2004; 276: 43–57.
- 19 Douglas BS. Conservative management of guillotine amputation of the finger in children. Aust Paediatr J 1972; 8: 86–89.
- 20 Holm A, Zachariae L. Fingertip lesions. An evaluation of conservative treatment versus free skin grafting. *Acta Orthop Scand* 1974; 45: 382–92.
- 21 King PA. Trapped finger injury. *Med J Aust* 1979; **2**: 580–82.
- 22 Soderberg T, Nystrom A, Hallmans G, Hulten J. Treatment of fingertip amputations with bone exposure. A comparative study between surgical and conservative treatment methods. *Scand J Plast Reconstr Surg* 1983; 17: 147–52.
- 23 O'Hara CM, Egar MW, Chernoff EA. Reorganization of the ependyma during axolotl spinal cord regeneration: changes in intermediate filament and fibronectin expression. *Dev Dyn* 1992; 193: 103–15.
- 24 O'Steen WK, Walker BE. Radioautographic studies or regeneration in the common newt. III. Regeneration and repair of the intestine. *Anat Rec* 1962; **142**: 179–87.
- 25 Oberpriller JO, Oberpriller JC. Cell Division in adult newt cardiac myocytes. In: Oberpriller JO, Oberpriller JC, and Mauro,A, ed. The developmental and regeneration potential of cardiac muscle. New York: Harwood, 1991: 293–311.
- 26 Nye HL, Cameron JA, Chernoff EA, Stocum DL. Regeneration of the urodele limb: a review. *Dev Dyn* 2003; **226**: 280–94.
- 27 Tsonis PA. Regeneration of the lens in amphibia. In: Fini E, ed. Vertebrate eye development. Heidelberg: Spinger-Verlag, 2000.
- 28 Mullen LM, Bryant SV, Torok MA, Blumberg B, Gardiner DM. Nerve dependency of regeneration: the role of Distal-less and FGF signaling in amphibian limb regeneration. *Development* 1996; 122: 3487–97.
- 29 D'Jamoos CA, McMahon G, Tsonis PA. Fibroblast growth factor receptors regulate the ability for hindlimb regeneration in *Xenopus laevis*. Wound Repair Regen 1998; 6: 388–97.
- 30 Cardoso MC, Leonhardt H, Nadal-Ginard B. Reversal of terminal differentiation and control of DNA replication: cyclin A and Cdk2 specifically localize at subnuclear sites of DNA replication. *Cell* 1993; 74: 979–92.
- 31 Tsonis PA, Del Rio-Tsonis K. Spontaneous neoplasms in amphibia. *Tumour Biol* 1988; 9: 221–4.
- 2 Eguchi G, Watanabe K. Elicitation of lens formation from the "ventral iris" epithelium of the newt by a carcinogen, N-methyl-N'nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine. J Embryol Exp Morphol 1973; 30: 63–71.
- 33 Tsonis PA. Effects of carcinogens on regenerating and nonregenerating limbs in amphibia (review). Anticancer Res 1983; 3: 195–202.
- 34 Prehn RT. Immunosurveillance, regeneration and oncogenesis. Prog Exp Tumor Res 1971; 14: 1–24.
- 35 Verfaillie CM. Adult stem cells: assessing the case for pluripotency. Trends Cell Biol 2002; 12: 502–08.
- 36 Orkin SH, Zon LI. Hematopoiesis and stem cells: plasticity versus developmental heterogeneity. *Nat Immunol* 2002; **3**: 323–28.
- 37 Anderson DJ, Gage FH, Weissman IL. Can stem cells cross lineage boundaries? Nat Med 2001; 7: 393–95.
- 38 Terada N, Hamazaki T, Oka M, et al. Bone marrow cells adopt the phenotype of other cells by spontaneous cell fusion. *Nature* 2002; 416: 542–45.
- 39 Ying QL, Nichols J, Evans EP, Smith AG. Changing potency by spontaneous fusion. *Nature* 2002; 416: 545–48.
- 40 Vassilopoulos G, Wang PR, Russell DW. Transplanted bone marrow regenerates liver by cell fusion. *Nature* 2003; 422: 901–04.
- 41 Wang X, Willenbring H, Akkari Y, et al. Cell fusion is the principal source of bone-marrow-derived hepatocytes. *Nature* 2003; 422: 897–901.
- 42 Hawley RG, Sobieski DA. Somatic stem cell plasticity: to be or not to be. *Stem Cells* 2002; **20:** 195–7.
- 43 Wagers AJ, Sherwood RI, Christensen JL, Weissman IL. Little

evidence for developmental plasticity of adult hematopoietic stem cells. *Science* 2002; **297**: 2256–59.

- 44 Wells WA. Is transdifferentiation in trouble? *J Cell Biol* 2002; **157**: 15–18.
- 45 Wurmser AE, Gage FH. Stem cells: cell fusion causes confusion. *Nature* 2002; **416**: 485–87.
- 46 Medvinsky A, Smith A. Stem cells: fusion brings down barriers. *Nature* 2003; 422: 823–85.
- 47 Tomita S, Li RK, Weisel RD, et al. Autologous transplantation of bone marrow cells improves damaged heart function. *Circulation* 1999; **100**: 247–56.
- 48 Toma C, Pittenger MF, Cahill KS, Byrne BJ, Kessler PD. Human mesenchymal stem cells differentiate to a cardiomyocyte phenotype in the adult murine heart. *Circulation* 2002; 105: 93–98.
- 49 Wang JS, Shum-Tim D, Galipeau J, Chedrawy E, Eliopoulos N, Chiu RC. Marrow stromal cells for cellular cardiomyoplasty: feasibility and potential clinical advantages. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2000; 120: 999–1005.
- 50 Orlic D, Kajstura J, Chimenti S, et al. Bone marrow cells regenerate infarcted myocardium. *Nature* 2001; **410**: 701–05.
- 51 Orlic D, Kajstura J, Chimenti S, Bodine DM, Leri A, Anversa P. Transplanted adult bone marrow cells repair myocardial infarcts in mice. Ann N Y Acad Sci USA 2001; 938: 221–30
- 52 Satomi-Kobayashi S, Kawashima S, Sakoda T, et al. Cardiac myocytes are recruited by bone marrow-derived cells in intact murine heart. *Kobe J Med Sci* 2002; 48: 161–66.
- 53 Saito T, Kuang JQ, Lin CC, Chiu RC. Transcoronary implantation of bone marrow stromal cells ameliorates cardiac function after myocardial infarction. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2003; 126: 114–23.
- 54 Kuramochi Y, Fukazawa R, Migita M, et al. Cardiomyocyte regeneration from circulating bone marrow cells in mice. *Pediatr Res* 2003; 54: 319–25.
- 55 Ciulla MM, Lazzari L, Pacchiana R, et al. Homing of peripherally injected bone marrow cells in rat after experimental myocardial injury. *Haematologica* 2003; 88: 614–21.
- 56 Alvarez-Dolado M, Pardal R, Garcia-Verdugo JM, et al. Fusion of bone-marrow-derived cells with Purkinje neurons, cardiomyocytes and hepatocytes. *Nature* 2003; 425: 968–73.
- 57 Murry CE, Soonpaa MH, Reinecke H, et al. Haematopoietic stem cells do not transdifferentiate into cardiac myocytes in myocardial infarcts. *Nature* 2004; 428: 664–68.
- 58 Balsam LB, Wagers AJ, Christensen JL, Kofidis T, Weissman IL, Robbins RC. Haematopoietic stem cells adopt mature haematopoietic fates in ischaemic myocardium. *Nature* 2004; 428: 668–73.
- 59 Chien KR. Stem cells: lost in translation. *Nature* 2004; 428: 607–08.
 60 Asahara T. Masuda H. Takahashi T. et al. Bone marrow origin of
- 60 Asahara T, Masuda H, Takahashi T, et al. Bone marrow origin of endothelial progenitor cells responsible for postnatal vasculogenesis in physiological and pathological neovascularization. *Circ Res* 1999; 85: 221–28.
- 61 Fuchs S, Baffour R, Zhou YF, et al. Transendocardial delivery of autologous bone marrow enhances collateral perfusion and regional function in pigs with chronic experimental myocardial ischemia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2001; **37**: 1726–32.
- 62 Hamano K, Li TS, Kobayashi T, et al. Therapeutic angiogenesis induced by local autologous bone marrow cell implantation. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2002; **73**: 1210–15.
- 63 Kamihata H, Matsubara H, Nishiue T, et al. Implantation of bone marrow mononuclear cells into ischemic myocardium enhances collateral perfusion and regional function via side supply of angioblasts, angiogenic ligands, and cytokines. *Circulation* 2001; 104: 1046–52.
- 64 Kamihata H, Matsubara H, Nishiue T, et al. Improvement of collateral perfusion and regional function by implantation of peripheral blood mononuclear cells into ischemic hibernating myocardium. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2002; 22: 1804–10.
- 65 Kobayashi T, Hamano K, Li TS, et al. Enhancement of angiogenesis by the implantation of self bone marrow cells in a rat ischemic heart model. J Surg Res 2000; 89: 189–95.
- 66 Kocher AA, Schuster MD, Szabolcs MJ, et al. Neovascularization of ischemic myocardium by human bone-marrow-derived angioblasts prevents cardiomyocyte apoptosis, reduces remodeling and improves cardiac function. *Nat Med* 2001; 7: 430–36.

- 67 Nishida M, Li TS, Hirata K, Yano M, Matsuzaki M, Hamano K. Improvement of cardiac function by bone marrow cell implantation in a rat hypoperfusion heart model. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2003; 75 (3): 768–74
- 68 Norol F, Merlet P, Isnard R, et al. Influence of mobilized stem cells on myocardial infarct repair in a nonhuman primate model. *Blood* 2003; **102**: 436–68.
- 69 Van Meter CH Jr, Claycomb WC, Delcarpio JB, et al. Myoblast transplantation in the porcine model: a potential technique for myocardial repair. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1995; 110: 1442–48.
- 70 Li RK, Mickle DA, Weisel RD, et al. Natural history of fetal rat cardiomyocytes transplanted into adult rat myocardial scar tissue. *Circulation* 1997; **96** (suppl): 179–87.
- 71 Watanabe E, Smith DM Jr, Delcarpio JB, et al. Cardiomyocyte transplantation in a porcine myocardial infarction model. *Cell Transplant* 1998; 7: 239–46.
- 72 Li RK, Jia ZQ, Weisel RD, Mickle DA, Choi A, Yau TM. Survival and function of bioengineered cardiac grafts. *Circulation* 1999; 100 (suppl): 63–69.
- 73 Leor J, Aboulafia-Etzion S, Dar A, et al. Bioengineered cardiac grafts: A new approach to repair the infarcted myocardium? *Circulation* 2000; **102** (suppl 3): 56–61.
- Ya Sakakibara Y, Nishimura K, Tambara K, et al. Prevascularization with gelatin microspheres containing basic fibroblast growth factor enhances the benefits of cardiomyocyte transplantation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2002; **124**: 50–56.
- ⁵⁵ Miyagawa S, Sawa Y, Taketani S, et al. Myocardial regeneration therapy for heart failure: hepatocyte growth factor enhances the effect of cellular cardiomyoplasty. *Circulation* 2002; **105**: 2556–61.
- 76 Shimizu T, Yamato M, Isoi Y, et al. Fabrication of pulsatile cardiac tissue grafts using a novel 3-dimensional cell sheet manipulation technique and temperature-responsive cell culture surfaces. *Circ Res* 2002; 90: 40.
- 77 Eschenhagen T, Didie M, Heubach J, Ravens U, Zimmermann WH. Cardiac tissue engineering. *Transpl Immunol* 2002; 9: 315–21.
- 78 Yau TM, Fung K, Weisel RD, Fujii T, Mickle DA, Li RK. Enhanced myocardial angiogenesis by gene transfer with transplanted cells. *Circulation* 2001; **104** (suppl 1): 218–22.
- 79 Koh GY, Kim SJ, Klug MG, Park K, Soonpaa MH, Field LJ. Targeted expression of transforming growth factor-beta 1 in intracardiac grafts promotes vascular endothelial cell DNA synthesis. J Clin Invest 1995; 95: 114–21.
- 80 Lee RJ, Springer ML, Blanco-Bose WE, Shaw R, Ursell PC, Blau HM. VEGF gene delivery to myocardium: deleterious effects of unregulated expression. *Circulation* 2000; **102**: 898–901.
- 81 Suzuki K, Murtuza B, Smolenski RT, et al. Cell transplantation for the treatment of acute myocardial infarction using vascular endothelial growth factor-expressing skeletal myoblasts. *Circulation* 2001; **104** (suppl 1): 207–12.
- 82 Behfar A, Zingman LV, Hodgson DM, et al. Stem cell differentiation requires a paracrine pathway in the heart. *Faseb J* 2002; 16: 1558–66.
- 83 Yang Y, Min JY, Rana JS, et al. VEGF enhances functional improvement of postinfarcted hearts by transplantation of ESCdifferentiated cells. J Appl Physiol 2002; 93: 1140–51.
- 84 Tomita S, Mickle DA, Weisel RD, et al. Improved heart function with myogenesis and angiogenesis after autologous porcine bone marrow stromal cell transplantation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2002; 123: 1132–40.
- 85 Hruban RH, Long PP, Perlman EJ, et al. Fluorescence in situ hybridization for the Y-chromosome can be used to detect cells of recipient origin in allografted hearts following cardiac transplantation. *Am J Pathol* 1993; 142: 975–80.
- 86 Glaser R, Lu MM, Narula N, Epstein JA. Smooth muscle cells, but not myocytes, of host origin in transplanted human hearts. *Circulation* 2002; 106: 17–19.
- 87 Quaini F, Urbanek K, Beltrami AP, et al. Chimerism of the transplanted heart. *N Engl J Med* 2002; **346**: 5–15.
- 88 Dowell JD, Rubart M, Pasumarthi KB, Soonpaa MH, Field LJ. Myocyte and myogenic stem cell transplantation in the heart. *Cardiovasc Res* 2003; 58: 336–50.

- 89 Lanza R, Moore MA, Wakayama T, et al. Regeneration of the infarcted heart with stem cells derived by nuclear transplantation. *Circ Res* 2004; 94: 820–27.
- 90 Auchincloss H, Bonventre JV. Transplanting cloned cells into therapeutic promise. *Nat Biotechnol* 2002; **20:** 665–66.
- 91 Lanza RP, Cibelli JB, West MD. Prospects for the use of nuclear transfer in human transplantation. *Nat Biotechnol* 1999; 17: 1171–74.
- 92 Lanza RP, Cibelli JB, West MD. Human therapeutic cloning. *Nat Med* 1999; **5**: 975–77.
- 93 Lanza RP, Caplan AL, Silver LM, Cibelli JB, West MD, Green RM. The ethical validity of using nuclear transfer in human transplantation. JAMA 2000; 284: 3175–79.
- 94 Assmus B, Schachinger V, Teupe C, et al. Transplantation of Progenitor Cells and Regeneration Enhancement in Acute Myocardial Infarction (TOPCARE-AMI). *Circulation* 2002; 106: 3009–17.
- 95 Strauer BE, Brehm M, Zeus T, et al. Repair of infarcted myocardium by autologous intracoronary mononuclear bone marrow cell transplantation in humans. *Circulation* 2002; 106: 1913–18.
- 96 Wollert KM, Lotz GP, Ringes-Lichtenberg J, et al. Intracoroanry autologous bone-marrow cell transfer after myocardial infarction: randomised controlled clinical trial. *Lancet* 2004; 364: 141–48.
- 97 Aviles FF, San Roman JA, Garcia Frade J, et al. Intracoronary stem cell transplantation in acute myocardial infarction. *Rev Esp Cardiol* 2004; 57: 201–08.
- 98 Kang HJ, Kim HS, Zhang SY, et al. Effects of intracoronary infusion of peripheral blood stem-cells mobilised with granulocytecolony stimulating factor on left ventricular systolic function and restenosis after coronary stenting in myocardial infarction: the MAGIC cell randomised clinical trial. *Lancet* 2004; 363: 751–56.
- 99 Perin EC, Dohmann HF, Borojevic R, et al. Transendocardial, autologous bone marrow cell transplantation for severe, chronic ischemic heart failure. *Circulation* 2003; **107**: 2294–2302.
- 100 Ozbaran M, Omay SB, Nalbantgil S, et al. Autologous peripheral stem cell transplantation in patients with congestive heart failure due to ischemic heart disease. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2004; 25: 342–511.
- 101 Li TS, Hamano K, Hirata K, Kobayashi T, Nishida M. The safety and feasibility of the local implantation of autologous bone marrow cells for ischemic heart disease. *J Card Surg* 2003; 18 (suppl 2): 69–75.
- 102 Galinanes M, Loubani M, Davies J, Chin D, Pasi J, Bell PR. Autotransplantation of unmanipulated bone marrow into scarred myocardium is safe and enhances cardiac function in humans. *Cell Transplant* 2004; 13: 7–13.
- 103 Siminiak T, Grygielska B, Jerzykowska O, et al. Autologous bone marrow stem cell transplantation in acute myocardial infarction report on two cases. *Kardiol Pol* 2003; 59: 502–10.
- 104 Stamm C, Westphal B, Kleine HD, et al. Autologous bone-marrow stem-cell transplantation for myocardial regeneration. *Lancet* 2003; 361: 45–46.
- 105 Hill JP, Paul JD, Powell TM. Efficacy and risk of granulocyte colony stimulating factor administration in patients with severe coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 2003; **108** (suppl 4): 478.

- 106 Vulliet PR, Greeley M, Halloran SM, MacDonald KA, Kittleson MD. Intra-coronary arterial injection of mesenchymal stromal cells and microinfarction in dogs. *Lancet* 2004; 363: 783–84.
- 107 Sakai T, Li R-K, Weisel RD, et al. Fetal cell transplantation: a comparison of three cell types. J Thor Cardiovasc Surg 1999; 118: 715–25.
- 108 Chiu RC-J, Zibaitis A, Kao RL. Cellular cardiomyoplasty: myocardial regeneration with satellite cell implantation. Ann Thorac Surg 1995; 60: 12–18.
- 109 Murry CE, Wiseman RW, Schwartz SM, Hauschka SD. Skeletal myoblast transplantation for repair of myocardial necrosis. *J Clin Invest* 1996; **98**: 2512–23.
- 110 Taylor DA, Atkins BZ, Hungspreugs P, et al. Regenerating functional myocardium: improved performance after skeletal myoblast transplantation. *Nat Med* 1998; 4: 929–33.
- 111 Scorsin M, Hagege A, Vilquin J-T, et al. Comparison of the effects of fetal cardiomyocyte and skeletal myoblast transplantation on postinfarction left ventricular function. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2000; **119**: 1169–75.
- 112 Menasche P, Hagege AA, Scorsin M, et al. Myoblast transplantation for heart failure. *Lancet* 2001; **357**: 279–80.
- 113 Menasche P, Hagege AA, Vilquin JT, et al. Autologous skeletal myoblast transplantation for severe postinfarction left ventricular dysfunction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003; 41: 1078–83.
- 114 Herreros J, Prosper F, Perez A, et al. Autologous intramyocardial injection of cultured skeletal muscle-derived stem cells in patients with non-acute myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J* 2003; 24: 2012–20.
- 115 Smits PC, van Geuns RJ, Poldermans D, et al. Catheter-based intramyocardial injection of autologous skeletal myoblasts as a primary treatment of ischemic heart failure: clinical experience with six-month follow-up. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003; 42: 2063–69.
- 116 Siminiak T, Fiszer D, Jerzykowska O, Grygielska B, Kalmucki P, Kurpisz M. Percutaneous autologous myoblast transplantation in the treatment of post-infarction myocardial contractility impairment - report on two cases. *Kardiol Pol* 2003; **59**: 492–501.
- 117 Yla-Herttuala S, Martin JF. Cardiovascular gene therapy. *Lancet* 2000; **355**: 213–22.
- 118 Shake JG, Gruber PJ, Baumgartner WA, et al. Mesenchymal stem cell implantation in a swine myocardial infarct model: engraftment and functional effects. *Ann.Thorac.Surg.* 2002; 73: 1919–25.
- 119 Orlic D. Stem cell repair in ischemic heart disease: an experimental model. Int J Hematol 2002; 76 (suppl 1) 144–45.
- 120 Yau TM, Tomita S, Weisel RD, et al. Beneficial effect of autologous cell transplantation on infarcted heart function: comparison between bone marrow stromal cells and heart cells. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2003; 75: 169–77.
- 121 Iten LB, SV. Forelimb regeneration from different levels of amputation in the newt *N. viridescens*. Length, rate and stages. *Wilhelm Roux Arch Dev Biol.* 1973; **173**: 263–82.
- 122 Bittner RE, Schofer C, Weipoltshammer K, et al. Recruitment of bone-marrow-derived cells by skeletal and cardiac muscle in adult dystrophic mdx mice. Anat Embryol (Berl) 1999; 199: 391–96.
- 123 Isner JM. Myocardial gene therapy. Nature 2002; 415: 234-39.